

Dr. Wm. Woods

It remains truly yours
Charles Robert Woods

Mr. Wm. Woods.

Newark

Ohio



10

divide the remaining decimal by the divisor the quotient would
be of the same denomination as the divisor. But it might
be asked, why not annex ciphers, instead of repeating them. The
reason is, the quotient must be such a number, which multiplied by the
divisor will produce the dividend. Now if the ciphers be annexed
the product will be as many ten times as great as there are ciphers
annexed, hence they must be repeated

Worthington March 9th 48.

Dear Brother

I hope you will excuse me for neglecting to write to you sooner, I should have written before, but I have been looking for a letter from you for some time and thought that I would not write until I received your letter.

Mr Nash has not had any school yesterday or to day in consequence of the examination at the Female Seminary, which most of the scholars wished to attend. The examination was very interesting, and well attended; however it has not been as interesting, as they have formerly been.

There has been several parties in town within the last two weeks, but I have not attended any of them, because I thought I could not spare the time.

~~and~~ I have been afraid from your not writing that there has ~~not~~ been some difficulty with regard to my getting the appointment. Have you heard from Duncan since you were over here? If so what is the prospect?

I have been thinking for a few days past that if I get the appointment I had better come home for I think I could get along with my spelling and writing better there than I do here for ~~not~~ Mr. Nash's time is so much taken up that he cannot render me much assistance. Nor can I get to read as much aloud as I would wish on Rankin's account, for it disturbs him.

I suppose Eliya is still at home? Give my love to Aunt O'Banon and all our family.

To divide a whole number by a fraction.

We multiply the whole number by the denominator of the fraction, and divide the product by the ~~maxor~~ numerator.

The reason why we multiply the whole number, by the denominator; is, to reduce it, so that any of the parts, will be equal to any of the parts of the divisor; hence, having the whole number reduced to parts equal in magnitude, to those of the dividing fraction; we may easily come at the true quotient, by dividing the whole number so reduced; by the numerator of the fraction: or, after multiplying the whole number by the denominator of the fraction; it may, together with the numerator of the fraction, be considered as whole numbers, as, the units are of the same magnitude in each.

To divide one fraction by another.

Invert the divisor, and multiply the two upper terms together for a new numerator; and the two lower terms for a new denominator.

The reason for inverting the divisor, and multiplying the upper terms together; is, because it is multiplying the dividend by the denominator of the dividing fraction; and to multiply the lower terms together is dividing, by the numerator of the fraction.

To find the common denominator of several fractions.

Multiply all the denominators together for a ~~sort of~~ common denominator, and each of the numerators, by all the denominators except its own. By this process it is plain, that the value of the several fractions are not changed; for both terms of ~~the~~ each fraction is multiplied by the same numbers; hence they retain their original value.

To annex a cipher to a decimal fraction, does not alter its value: for the reason that the denominator when expressed, consists of one with as many ciphers as there are ~~it~~

places in the numerator; hence to annex a cipher to the numerator, is equivalent to multiplying both terms of the fraction by ten.

By prefixing a cipher to a decimal we diminish the value of the fraction ten times. Because the numerator remains the same; while the denominator would be increased ten times.

The reason why we prefix ciphers to the product of a decimal numbers after multiplying when the number of places is not equal to those in both the factors. Suppose we have two decimal numbers which are required to be multiplied together; the product will be of the least denomination mentioned in the factors; that is if we have cents and hundredths to be multiplied together the product it is understood that the denominators are one with as many ciphers to the left right as there are places in the numerator. Then by multiplying the two numerators together, for a new numerator, and the denominators; for a denominator we should have the product in the form of a vulgar fraction; but we wish to have it expressed decimally; hence by prefixing ciphers until the places in the numerator equal the number of ciphers in the denominator; we are at liberty to remove the denominator, and the value of the fraction, will be expressed by putting the decimal point to the left of the number.

The reason why we prefix ciphers to the quotient after division, when the places in the quotient does not equal the excess of those in the dividend, over those in the divisor. In dividing one decimal by another we are at liberty to remove the decimal point in either direction providing we make a corresponding removal of the decimal point in the dividend; for instance, if we remove the decimal point from the left of the divisor to the right, we should have to remove the point in the dividend as many places to the right as there was places in the dividend divisor. Then to

divide the remaining decimal by the divisor the quotient would be of the same denomination as the divisor. But it might be asked, why not annex ciphers, instead of prefixing them. The reason is, the quotient must be such a number, which multiplied by the divisor will produce the dividend. Now if the ciphers be annexed the product will be as many ten times too great, as there ~~is~~ are ciphers annexed, hence they must be prefixed

100
Mr. Wm. B. Woods.
Newark Ohio

To Wm. B. Woods

I remain Truly your Brother
Charles Robert Woods