

O-
Personnel

August 14, 1928.

District Forester,
Washington, D.C.

Dear Sir:

I am enclosing a table showing the proportion of technical and non-technical men in our inflow of personnel during 1927 and 1928 to date.

These records should be used with discretion but they are of fundamental importance.

The contrasts between Districts exhibiting, as they do, the variations in policies of District Foresters are most interesting. Time will tell which policy is right but it will then be too late to change the policies of today. We need to foresee as clearly as we can the story which time will tell.

Very truly yours,

/s/ Roy Headley
Assistant Forester

Enclosure

c o p y

Appointments from Junior Forester, Junior Range Examiner and Forest Ranger Registers, 1927 and 1928.

	<u>Junior Forester</u>		<u>Junior Range Examiner</u>		<u>Forest Ranger</u>	
<u>District</u>	<u>1927</u>	<u>1928 to 8/13</u>	<u>1927</u>	<u>1928 to 8/13</u>	<u>1927</u>	<u>1928 to 8/13</u>
1	2	4	2	0	4	9
2	9	11	3	0	1	5
3	0	2	1	1	3	3
4	7	8	1	2	5	4
5	5	5	1	1	2	13
6	4	2	1	1	5	6
7	17	4	0	0	1	0
8	1	0	0	0	0	0
Research	<u>8</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
Totals	53	40	9	6	21	40
	:	:	:	:	:	:
	:	:	:	:	:	:
	<u>62</u>	<u>46</u>			<u>61</u>	
	:	:	:	:		
	:	:	:	:		
	<u>108</u>					

Percentage of total of above appointments which were made from the technical registers

District	8	100%	District	5	44%	
"	7	95%	"	6	42%	
"	2	2	79%	"	3	40%
"	4	67%	"	1	38%	

с о р у

NUMBER AND PER CENT OF TECHNICAL AND NON-TECHNICAL
MEN IN DISTRICT 7

<u>District Office</u>					
<u>Class</u>	<u>Number</u>			<u>Per cent</u>	
	<u>Tech.</u>	<u>Non-tech.</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Tech.</u>	<u>Non-tech.</u>
A.D.F.'s (including Mr. Scott.)	5	1	6	83	17
Staffmen (excluding Accounts.)	3 (Gross, Graham, Paxton)	3 (Bell, Root, Pidgeon)	6	50	50
Total, D.O.	8	4	12	67%	33%
<u>Field</u>					
Supervisors (excluding Luq.)	10	3	13	77	23
Staffmen, foresters					
Acquisition	13	1	14	93	7
Other	18	3	21	86	14
Staffmen, engineers (Road supers., transitmen, Ex. of Surveys)	5	14	19	26	74
Total staff.	36	18	54	67	33
Rangers, District	6	30	36	17	83
Assist. Rangers	4	-	4	100	-
Total Rangers	10	30	40	25	75
Total for D-7	64	55	119	54	46

111 DISTRIBUTION OF TIME OF 28 RANGERS

FISCAL YEAR 1928

Forest and Ranger	Forest Manage- ment		Lands		All other Activities		Total	
	Hrs.	%	Hrs.	%	Hrs.	%	Hrs.	%
Choctawhatchee								
✓ McKee	714	25✓	75	3✓	2056	72✓	2845	100
✓ Clark	2	-	15	1	1396	99	1413	100
✓ Anchors	315	9	97	3	2875	88	3287	100
✓ Maule	727	27✓	110	4✓	1845	69✓	2682	100
*Smith, H.P.	572	46	130	10	552	44	1254	100
Natural Bridge								
✓ McKinley	826	30✓	301	10✓	1630	60✓	2757	100
✓ Carr	1324	53✓	241	8✓	1017	39✓	2582	100
*Dean, G.W.	1799	66%✓	207	7	723	27	2729	100
Quachita								
✓ Beck	783	27✓	70	2✓	2015	71✓	2868	100
✓ Casey, G.W.	477	16✓	29	1	2432	83	2938	100
✓ Doede	203	8	139	5	2387	87	2729	100
✓ Hartman	226	7	93	3	2932	90	3251	100
*Hawthorne	648	26✓	36	1	1800	73	2484	100
✓ Heberling	501	54✓	1	-	432	46	933	100
✓ Jones, F.J.	639	22	6	-	2318	78	2963	100
*Ochsner	2420	87✓	10	-	367	13	2797	100
Pisgah								
✓ Wilson	1197	49✓	439	18	819	33✓	2455	100
✓ Coffey	539	22	111	2	1996	76	2646	100
✓ Dunn	501	17	792	27	1626	56	2919	100
✓ Jared	311	12	152	6	2112	82	2575	100
Unaka								
✓ Cole	1170	43✓	51	2	1489	55✓	2710	100
✓ Manchester	901	35	200	8	1423	57	2524	100
*Paddock	562	38	9	-	919	62	1490	100
✓ Thompson	918	39✓	51	2	1407	59	2376	100
White Mountain								
✓ Smith, R.W.	725	27	225	9	1700	64	2650	100
✓ Spinney	1219	43✓	262	9✓	1341	48✓	2822	100
✓ Brown	1085	41	467	19	1001	40	2553	100
✓ Hale	972	39✓	252	11	1242	50✓	2466	100
Total, Dist. Rangers only	15,774	27	4,178	7	339,059	66	59,011	100

*Not District Rangers

Jed